

Discrete analogues of the Laguerre Inequality

Ilia Krasikov

Brunel University

Department of Mathematical Sciences

Uxbridge UB8 3PH United Kingdom

e-mail: mastiik@brunel.ac.uk

Abstract

It is shown that $\sum_{j=-m}^m (-1)^j \frac{f(x-j)f(x+j)}{(m-j)!(m+j)!} \geq 0$, $m = 0, 1, \dots$, where $f(x)$ is either a real polynomial with only real zeros or an allied entire function of a special type, provided the distance between two consecutive zeros of $f(x)$ is at least $\sqrt{4 - \frac{6}{m+2}}$. These inequalities are a surprisingly similar discrete analogue of higher degree generalizations of the Laguerre and Turan inequalities. Being applied to the classical discrete orthogonal polynomials, they yield sharp, explicit bounds uniform in all parameters involved, on the polynomials and their extreme zeros. We will illustrate it for the case of Krawtchouk polynomials.

1. Introduction

A real entire function $\phi(x)$ is in the Laguerre-Polya class $\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{P}$ if it has a representation of the form

$$\phi(x) = cx^m e^{-\alpha x^2 + \beta x} \prod_{k=1}^{\omega} \left(1 + \frac{x}{x_k}\right) e^{-x/x_k} \quad (\omega \leq \infty),$$

where c, β, x_k are real, $\alpha \geq 0$, m is a nonnegative integer and $\sum x_k^{-2} < \infty$.

We denote by $\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{O})$ a subclass of $\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{P}$ corresponding to $\alpha = 0$, and by $\mathcal{RP}$ a set of real polynomials having only real zeros. Obviously $\mathcal{RP} \subset \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{O}) \subset \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{P}$. The well-known Laguerre inequality [13] states that $f'^2 - ff'' \geq 0$, for any $f \in \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{P}$. The following remarkable high degree generalization

$$L_m(f(x)) = \sum_{j=-m}^m (-1)^{m+j} \frac{f^{(m-j)}(x) f^{(m+j)}(x)}{(m-j)!(m+j)!} \geq 0 \quad (1)$$

yielding the Laguerre inequality for $m = 1$, seems much less known [9, 17, 18]. M. Patrick [18] used (1) to obtain Turan-type inequalities, which have essentially the same form

$$\sum_{j=-m}^m (-1)^j \frac{p_{k-j}(x) p_{k+j}(x)}{(m-j)!(m+j)!} \geq 0 \quad (2)$$

and are valid for polynomials and entire functions having a generating function of the Laguerre-Polya class. Some further generalizations and allied inequalities can be found in [4, 7]. A case of entire functions in the Laguerre-Polya class and a connection between Laguerre and Turan type inequalities are considered in [2, 3, 18]. The aim of this paper is to establish a difference analogue of (1) and (2) which is surprisingly similar.

Let $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots$, be the zeros of $f \in \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{O})$, a *mesh* $M(f)$ of f is defined by $M(f) = \inf_{i \geq 2} (x_i - x_{i-1})$. Our main result is the following theorem (the case $m = 1$ has been given in [11]).

Theorem 1 *Let $f \in \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{O})$ and $M(f) \geq \sqrt{4 - \frac{6}{m+2}}$, then*

$$V_m(f) = \sum_{j=-m}^m (-1)^j \frac{f(x-j) f(x+j)}{(m-j)!(m+j)!} \geq 0 \quad (3)$$

Laguerre and Turan type inequalities have many important applications. In particular they can be used to find sharp explicit bounds, uniform in all parameters involved

for the classical orthogonal polynomials on the real axis and their extreme zeros. The simplest possibility is to convert (1) into an algebraic inequality by expressing all the derivatives involved in terms of $f(x)$ and $f'(x)$, using the corresponding second-order differential equation. In [5, 6, 10, 11, 12] this type of arguments was applied to the case of Hermite and Krawtchouk polynomials and the Bessel function. Roughly speaking, inequalities with $m = 1$ yield the first term of the corresponding asymptotics, whenever for $m = 2$ they give the correct order for the second term with a slightly weaker constant, probably the best possible precision achievable by this method. For example, for the largest zero z_k of Hermite polynomial $H_k(x)$, (1) it gives $z_k \leq \sqrt{2k - 2}$ with $m = 1$ and $z_k \leq \sqrt{(4k - 3k^{1/3} - 1)/2} = \sqrt{2k} - \frac{3}{4\sqrt{2}}k^{-1/6} + O(k^{-1/2})$, with $m = 2$. Here the constant $\frac{3}{4\sqrt{2}}$ is about 3 times smaller than the actual asymptotic value. As well the same approach yields two-sides bounds for the envelop of $|H_k(x)|$ in the oscillatory region with the precision $O(k^{-2})$ [6]. We would like to stress that the method produces inequalities rather than asymptotics usually obtained by a standard approach. Our search for higher degree discrete analogues of (1) was motivated by the fact that the classical discrete orthogonal polynomials satisfy a second order difference equation of the form

$$p_k(x+1) = b_k(x)p(x) - c_k(x)p_k(x-1) \quad (4)$$

with $c_k(x) > 0$ for x belonging to the interval of orthogonality [16]. Therefore inequalities of this type enable one to tackle the discrete polynomials similarly to the continuous ones. The classical approach (see e.g. [8], [14]), heavily depends on the fact that the corresponding generating functions are of a rather simple form allowing asymptotic investigation. As far as we know the method of Laguerre type inequalities is the only one producing sharp explicit bounds in the discrete case. We outline this type of applications for the case of Krawtchouk polynomials in the last section.

The following observation (a prototypical result in the case of Krawtchouk polynomials was given in [1]) shows that a conception of mesh arises very naturally in this context.

Theorem 2 *Let $p \in \mathcal{RP}$ satisfies (4) and have all its roots in the open interval I . Then $M(p) \geq 1$ provided $c_k(x) > 0$ for $x \in I$. If in addition $b_k(x) > 0$ on I , then $M(p) \geq 2$.*

In view of this it would be very useful to have inequalities valid for $M(p) \geq 1$. At present we know only how to "mend" (3) for $m = 1$, namely we prove

Theorem 3 *Let $p \in \mathcal{RP}$ and $M(p) \geq 1$ then for any $\mu(x) \geq 0$,*

$$U(p) = p^2(x) - p(x-1)p(x+1) + \frac{1}{4}(p(x+1) - \mu(x)p(x) + p(x-1))^2 \geq 0 \quad (5)$$

In principle one can apply (5) and an approach developed in [5] for obtaining bounds corresponding to the second term of asymptotics, but it leads to rather cumbersome calculations in comparing with (3). Yet (5) may be quite useful in some tough cases, e.g. for bounding the zeros of Charlier polynomials. The details are rather lengthy and will be given in a separate paper. Finally, it worth noticing that some sharper versions of the Laguerre inequality are known in the polynomial case. It has been shown [15], [7] that if $p \in \mathcal{RP}$ has degree at most k then

$$(k-1)p'^2 - kpp'' \geq 0,$$

$$3(k-2)(k-3)p''^2 - 4(k-1)(k-3)p'p''' + k(k-1)pp^{(4)} \geq 0,$$

It would be interesting to extend these results on the discrete case. We suggest the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1 *Let $p \in \mathcal{RP}$, $\deg(p) = k$, and $M(p) \geq 1$, then*

$$(k-1)(p(x+1) - p(x-1))^2 - 4kp(x)(p(x+1) - 2p(x) + p(x-1)) \geq 0$$

2. Proofs

We split the proof of Theorem 1 into several lemmas.

Lemma 1 *If $p \in \mathcal{RP}$ then*

$$V_m((x-a)p) = ((x-a)^2 - m^2)V_m(p) + V_{m-1}(p) \quad (6)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} V_m((x-a)p) &= \sum_{j=-m}^m (-1)^j \frac{p(x-j)p(x+j)((x-a)^2 - j^2)}{(m-j)!(m+j)!} = \\ &= \sum_{j=-m}^m (-1)^j \frac{p(x-j)p(x+j)((x-a)^2 - m^2 + m^2 - j^2)}{(m-j)!(m+j)!} = \end{aligned}$$

$$((x-a)^2 - m^2)V_m(p) + \sum_{j=-m}^m (-1)^j \frac{p(x-j)p(x+j)(m^2-j^2)}{(m-j)!(m+j)!} =$$

$$((x-a)^2 - m^2)V_m(p) + V_{m-1}(p)$$

□

Lemma 2 Let $p = \prod_{i=1}^k (x - a_i)$, then $V_m(p) = 0$ for $k < m$, $V_m(p) = 1$ for $k = m$, and

$$V_m(p) = (m+1)x^2 - 2x \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} a_i + \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} a_i^2 - \frac{1}{4} \binom{2m+2}{3} \quad (7)$$

for $k = m+1$.

Proof. For $m = 1$ it is checked directly. The result easily follows from (6) by the induction on m . □

Proof of Theorem 1: It is enough to prove the theorem for $p \in \mathcal{RP}$. The general result follows by $V_m(fe^{\beta x}) = e^{\beta x} V_m(f)$, and easy limiting arguments. Let $p = \prod_{i=1}^k (x - a_i)$, $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_k$, and $M(p) \geq \delta_m = \sqrt{4 - \frac{6}{m+2}}$. By Lemma 2 the claim is true for $k \leq m$. We apply the induction on k . Consider two cases:

Case 1 : $k = m+1$

It is enough to show that (7), a quadratic in x , has a non-positive discriminant, that is

$$\Delta = 4 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m+1} a_i \right)^2 - 4(m+1) \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} a_i^2 + (m+1) \binom{2m+2}{3} \leq 0.$$

Since by the assumption $a_i - a_{i-1} \geq \delta_m$, $i = 2, \dots, m+1$, we may put $a_i = (i-1)\delta_m + \sum_{j=1}^i r_j$, where all $r_j \geq 0$. It is easy to check that $\frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial r_i} < 0$ for $2 \leq i \leq m+1$ and $\frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial r_1} = 0$. Hence to find the maximum value of Δ we can take all $r_i = 0$, yielding $a_i = (i-1)\delta_m$ and $\Delta = 0$.

Case 2 : $k > m+1$

Fix any $x = x_0$, then $\max(|x_0 - a_1|, |x_0 - a_k|) \geq (k-1)M(p)/2$, and so for a equals either a_1 or a_k we have

$$(x_0 - a)^2 - m^2 \geq \frac{(2m+1)(k-1)^2}{2m+4} - m^2 \geq \frac{m^2 + 4m + 1}{2m+4} > 0.$$

On putting $p(x) = (x-a)q(x)$ the result follows from (6) by the induction hypothesis. □

To prove Theorem 3 we need a more detailed version of Theorem 1 for $m = 1$.

Lemma 3 If $M(p) \geq 1$, $\deg(p) = k \geq 2$, and for some $x = x_0$,

$$p^2(x_0) - p(x_0 + 1)p(x_0 - 1) < 0,$$

then there are two consecutive roots x_i, x_{i+1} of $p(x)$ s.t. $x_0 \in (x_i, x_{i+1})$ and $x_{i+1} - x_i < \sqrt{2}$.

Proof. Put $p(x) = a \prod_{j=1}^k (x - x_j)$, $x_1 < \dots < x_k$. If $p^2(x_0) - p(x_0 + 1)p(x_0 - 1) < 0$ then

$$1 < \frac{p(x_0 + 1)p(x_0 - 1)}{p^2(x_0)} = \prod_{j=1}^k \left(1 - \frac{1}{(x_0 - x_j)^2}\right) := \prod_{j=1}^k q_j.$$

Since $|q_j| > 1$ implies $q_j < -1$ and $|x_0 - x_j| < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, it follows by $M(p) \geq 1$ and $\prod_{j=1}^k q_j > 0$, that $x_i < x_0 < x_{i+1}$ for some i and $q_i q_{i+1} > 1$. But elementary calculations show that $q_i q_{i+1} = (1 - \frac{1}{(x_0 - x_i)^2})(1 - \frac{1}{(x_0 - x_{i+1})^2}) > 1$ is possible only for $x_{i+1} - x_i \leq \sqrt{2}$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3: To prove (5) assume $U(p) < 0$ for some $x = x_0$. Then $p(x + 1)$ and $p(x - 1)$ are of the same sign. Then by the previous lemma $p(x)$ is of the opposite sign. But on expanding V_2 we convince that then $U \geq 0$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2: Assume that $y_1 < y_2$ is the largest pair of consecutive roots of $p = p_k$ such that $y_2 - y_1 < 1$. Since it must be a spectral point between any two roots of p then there is unique integer m , $y_1 \leq m \leq y_2$. Assume first that $m \neq y_1, y_2$, then

$$\text{Sign}(p(y_2 + 1)) = \text{Sign}(p(m + 1)) = -\text{Sign}(p(m)),$$

and

$$\text{Sign}(p(y_2 - 1)) = \text{Sign}(p(m - 1)) = -\text{Sign}(p(m)),$$

contradicting $c_k(y_2) > 0$ and $p(y_2 + 1) = -c_k(y_2)p(y_2 - 1)$. Cases $m = y_1$ or y_2 are similar. Thus, we get $M(p) \geq 1$.

Let now $b_k(x) > 0$, and $y_1 < y_2$ be two consecutive roots with $y_2 - y_1 < 2$. Let $z = (y_1 + y_2)/2$, then $\text{Sign}(p(z - 1)) = -\text{Sign}(p(z)) = \text{Sign}(p(z + 1))$, contradicting (4). $\square$

3. Some Applications

Inequalities (3) can be used to establish very sharp explicit bounds on discrete orthogonal polynomials. The case of L_1 and V_1 for Hermite and Krawtchouk polynomials respectively has been considered in [5],[11]. In the continuous case L_2 leads to much more precise

estimates than L_1 , [6], [12]. Here we will show that V_2 gives a similar improvement for the case of binary Krawtchouk polynomials $P_k(x) = P_k^n(x)$, $k < n/2$. We outline the method leaving aside the details of calculations which are similar to these in [5], [6], and require a symbolic package, we used Mathematica. Asymptotic results obtained via a more classical approach can be found in [8], [14].

Let $x_1 < \dots < x_k$, be the zeros of $P_k(x)$. Notice that $P_k(x)$ and consequently its zeros are symmetric with respect to $n/2$. First, we need rough bounds on x_1 and x_k . Since Krawtchouk polynomials are orthogonal on $[0, n]$, we get $0 \leq x_1 < x_k \leq n$. Slightly more accurate considerations yield $1 < x_1 < x_k < n - 1$, and this is the bound we start with. Thus, in the sequel we assume $1 < x < n - 1$. A difference equation for Krawtchouk polynomials is

$$(n - x)P_k(x + 1) = (n - 2k)P_k(x) - xP_k(x - 1) \quad (8)$$

Since in our case $M(P_k(x)) > 2$ by the assumption $k < n/2$, one gets

$$V_2(P_k(x)) = \frac{A(x)t^2 + B(x)t + C(x)}{12(n - x)(n - x - 1)(x - 1)}(P_k(x))^2 \geq 0,$$

where $t = t(x) = P_k(x - 1)/P_k(x)$,

$$A(x) = -x(4x^2 - 4nx + 4n + m^2 - 4)$$

$$B(x) = m(4x^2 - 4nx + 2x + 3n + m^2 - 4)$$

$$C(x) = 4x^3 - 8nx^2 + (4n^2 + 2n + m^2 - 4)x - 2n^2 - m^2n + 4n - m^2$$

and $m = n - 2k$. Since $t(x)$ attains all values from $-\infty$ to ∞ between any two consecutive zeros of P_k in the oscillatory region one must have $A(x) > 0$. It worth noticing that $A(x_1) > 0$ gives $x_1 > \frac{n}{2} - \sqrt{(k - 1)(n - k - 1)}$, in fact the first term of the corresponding asymptotic. Thus, for $\Delta(x) = B^2(x) - 4A(x)C(x) \geq 0$, we get that $t(x)$ is confined between two solutions of $A(x)t^2 + B(x)t + C(x) = 0$. The explicit result is rather messy, therefore we only notice that in fact the smaller branch yields very sharp approximation to $P_k(x - 1)/P_k(x)$.

To obtain a stronger inequality for the extreme zeros observe that the function $t(x)$ is monotonically increases on $(1, x_1)$ from $P_k(0)/P_k(1)$ to ∞ and avoids the region given by $A(x)t^2 + B(x)t + C(x) < 0$. This implies that the discriminant of this quadratic in t is negative in the oscillatory region, i.e. $\Delta(x_1) < 0$. We have

$$\Delta(x) = (y^2 - (n - 1)^2 + m^2 - 1)^3 - 2(y^2 + m^2 - 1)^2 + m^2y^2 + 2(n - 1)^2(n^2 - 2n + 5) < 0, \quad (9)$$

where $y = n - 2x$. The discriminant surface of this cubic equation in $z = y^2$ (that is the domain of parameters where the equation has a multiple root) is given by

$$(256(n-1)^2 - 27m^4 + 32m^2)(n^2 - m^2)((n-2)^2 - m^2) = 0.$$

It easily implies that for $2 \leq k < \frac{n}{2} - 2 \cdot 3^{-3/4} \sqrt{n}$, equation (9) has two real roots, the positive one satisfying the inequality

$$y < 2\sqrt{k(n-k)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{n-2k}{k(n-k)} \right)^{2/3} \right)$$

Thus we conclude that for $2 \leq k < \frac{n}{2} - 2 \cdot 3^{-3/4} \sqrt{n}$ all the roots of $P_k^n(x)$ are in the interval

$$\frac{n}{2} \pm \sqrt{k(n-k)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{n-2k}{k(n-k)} \right)^{2/3} \right) \quad (10)$$

Slightly more accurate bounds $\frac{3}{8}$ instead of $\frac{1}{4}$, but only for k growing linearly with n , has been found in [5]. It seems that the exact (asymptotic) value of the constant at the error term is unknown, although it almost certainly relates to the Airy function.

Now we will show how to estimate V_2 in the oscillatory region. Bounds on $P_k(x)$ and $P_k(x-1)$ inside the oscillatory region readily follow from the corresponding bounds on V_2 , as the major axes of the ellipse corresponding to V_2 . The situation in the transitory regions, that is near to the extreme zeros, is more complicated. It either requires an appealing to Remez inequality or some more tricky arguments, see e.g. [7, 11].

The expression

$$W(x) = \frac{V_2(P_k(x+1)) - zV(P_k(x))}{(P_k(x))^2} \quad (11)$$

is a quadratic in t , more precisely it can be written as

$$\frac{C(x)t^2 + D(x)t + E(x)}{(n-x-2)(n-x-1)(n-x)^2},$$

where $C(x), D(x), E(x)$ are certain polynomials. Choose z from the condition $D^2(x) - 4C(x)E(x) = 0$, then the sign of $W(x)$ coincides with the sign of $C(x)$. Calculations yield

$$z = \frac{(x-1)(\Delta(x + \frac{1}{2}) - 3S \pm 6\sqrt{R})}{(n-x-2)\Delta(x)}$$

where

$$S = y(y-2)(y-1)^2 - (n^2 - 2n - m^2 + 2)^2 + 7(n-1)^2,$$

$$R = (n^2 - y^2 - 2n + 2y)(n^2 - m^2)((n-2)^2 - m^2)((n-1)^2 - m^2 - (y-1)^2).$$

Thus, by $V_2 > 0$, we obtain that for $2 \leq k < \frac{n}{2} - 2 \cdot 3^{-3/4} \sqrt{n}$,

$$\frac{(x-1)(\Delta(x + \frac{1}{2}) - 3S + 6\sqrt{R})}{(n-x-2)\Delta(x)} \leq \frac{V_2(P_k(x+1))}{V_2(P_k(x))} \leq \frac{(x-1)(\Delta(x + \frac{1}{2}) - 3S - 6\sqrt{R})}{(n-x-2)\Delta(x)},$$

provided $\Delta(x) < 0$.

In our case $V_2(P_k(\frac{n}{2}))$ can be found explicitly, giving the required initial conditions. It is important to notice that for any fixed $-1 < \epsilon < 1$, and $x = \frac{n}{2} + 2\epsilon\sqrt{k(n-k)}$, we have $\sqrt{R}/\Delta(x) = O(n^{-2})$. In fact this can be improved to $O(n^{-3})$ if we consider

$$V_2(P_k(x)) + \frac{(P_k(x+1) - P_k(x-1))^2}{2(4nx - (n-2k)^2 - 4x^2)}$$

in (11) instead of $V_2(P_k(x))$, that is perturbing the form in a similar way to that used in [6] for the case of Hermite polynomials.

References

- [1] L. Chihara and D. Stanton, *Zeros of generalized Krawtchouk polynomials*, J. Approx. Theory 60, 1990, 43-57.
- [2] T. Craven and G. Csordas, *Jensen polynomials and the Turan and Laguerre inequalities*, Pacific J. Math., 136 No.2, 1989, 241-260.
- [3] G. Csordas and R.S. Varga, *Necessary and sufficient conditions and the Riemann hypothesis*, Adv. in Appl. Math. 11, 1990, 328-352.
- [4] K. Dilcher, K. B. Stolarsky, *On a class of nonlinear differential operators acting on polynomials*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 170, 1992, 382-400.
- [5] W.H. Foster and I. Krasikov, *Bounds for the extreme roots of orthogonal polynomials*, Int. J. of Math. Algorithms, Vol.2, 2000, 121-132 .
- [6] W.H. Foster and I. Krasikov, *Explicit bounds for Hermite polynomials in the oscillatory region*, LMS J. Comput. Math., Vol.3, 2000, 307-314.
- [7] W.H. Foster and I. Krasikov, *Inequalities for real-root polynomials and entire functions*, Adv. Appl. Math, to appear.

- [8] M. Ismail and P. Simeonov, *Strong asymptotics for Krawtchouk polynomials*, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 100, 2, 1998, 121-144.
- [9] J.L.W.V. Jensen, *Recherches sur la theorie des equations*, Acta Math., 36 1913, 181-195.
- [10] I. Krasikov, *Bounds for the Christoffel-Darboux kernel of the binary Krawtchouk polynomials*, in: Codes and Association Schemes , AMS-DIMACS Volume series, A.Barg and S.Litsyn, Eds., Providence, AMS 2001, 193-198.
- [11] I. Krasikov, *Nonnegative quadratic forms and bounds on orthogonal polynomials*, J. Approx. Theory 111, 2001, 31-49.
- [12] I. Krasikov, *Uniform bounds for Bessel functions*, submitted.
- [13] E. Laguerre, *Œuvres*, Vol.1, 2nd ed., Chelsea Publishing, New York, 1972.
- [14] X.C.Li and R. Wong, *A uniform asymptotic expansion for Krawtchouk polynomials*, J. Approx. Theory 106, 2000, 155-184.
- [15] J.B. Love, Problem E 1532. Amer. Math. Monthly 69, 1962, 668.
- [16] A. F. Nikiforov, S. K. Suslov and V. B. Uvarov, *Classical Orthogonal Polynomials of a Discrete Variable*, Nauka, Moscow 1985; English transl., Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1991.
- [17] M.L.Patrick, *Some inequalities concerning Jacobi Polynomials*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 2, 1971, 213-220.
- [18] M.L.Patrick, Pacific J. Math. 44, 1973, 675-682.